

US MAGISTRATE JUDGE CHERYL L. POLLAK

DATE: 3/28/12

TIME SPENT: _____

DOCKET NO. 11 CW 3477, 12 CW 372CASE: Flushing v Travelers, Eurohypo v Travelers

____ INITIAL CONFERENCE
 ____ DISCOVERY CONFERENCE
 ____ SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
 ____ MOTION HEARING

____ OTHER/STATUS CONFERENCE
 ____ FINAL/PRETRIAL CONFERENCE
 ____ TELEPHONE CONFERENCE
 ____ ORAL ARGUMENT

MANDATORY DISCLOSURE :

____ COMPLETED _____ DATE TO BE COMPLETED BY _____

FOR PLAINTIFF: _____

FOR DEFENDANT: _____

____ DISCOVERY TO BE COMPLETED BY _____

____ NEXT CONFERENCE SCHEDULED FOR _____

____ DUNTON ISSUE ADDRESSED

PL. TO SERVE DEF. BY: _____

DEF. TO SERVE PL. BY: _____

RULINGS:

Eurohypo case - Mandatory disclosures by 4/13
 Parties in Flushing case to provide Eurohypo
 w/docs. and interrogatories previously
 exchanged by 4/13
 Parties in Eurohypo case to exchange discovery
 requests by 4/30; responses 5/31
 Pls in Flushing case to consider whether to request
 pre-motion conference - if so, letters to be
 submitted in accordance with District Judge's
 rules
 Defendant not precluded from filing interpleader
 at a later date →

Parties in Flushing case to meet and
confer on discovery issues and
submit letters if any remaining
disputes

Eurohypo lawyers to sign protective order

Conference 6/6 at 11:30